Precision and diversity in an odor map on the olfactory bulb

Edward R Soucy^{1,2}, Dinu F Albeanu^{1,2}, Antoniu L Fantana¹, Venkatesh N Murthy¹ & Markus Meister¹

We explored the map of odor space created by glomeruli on the olfactory bulb of both rat and mouse. Identified glomeruli could be matched across animals by their response profile to hundreds of odors. Their layout in different individuals varied by only ~1 glomerular spacing, corresponding to a precision of 1 part in 1,000. Across species, mouse and rat share many glomeruli with apparently identical odor tuning, arranged in a similar layout. In mapping the position of a glomerulus to its odor tuning, we found only a coarse relationship with a precision of ~5 spacings. No chemotopic order was apparent on a finer scale and nearby glomeruli were almost as diverse in their odor sensitivity as distant ones. This local diversity of sensory tuning stands in marked distinction from other brain maps. Given the reliable placement of the glomeruli, it represents a feature, not a flaw, of the olfactory bulb.

In many regions of the brain, neurons form an ordered representation of the outside world, as exemplified by the 'homunculus' of the somatosensory cortex, a point-to-point topographic map of the body surface onto the brain surface. There has been great interest in such a systematic relationship between the function of neurons and their anatomical arrangement, as the map can suggest what processing the circuit performs^{1,2}. Most brain computation is local, relying on short connections between nearby cells³. This is a necessity, as the connections between neurons occupy most of the volume available to the brain, and long-distance connections require more volume. Consequently, the arrangement of neurons in a given brain region constrains which neuronal signals can be brought together in subsequent computations. Here we analyzed a sensory map in the olfactory system, namely the two-dimensional arrangement of glomeruli on the olfactory bulb.

Olfaction begins at the olfactory epithelium, which lines the upper region of the nasal cavity, where millions of receptor cells transduce the binding of odorous molecules into a neural signal. The genomes of the rat and the mouse contain \sim 1,000 genes for odorant receptors, but each sensory neuron is thought to express only one gene from this large set⁴. This results in \sim 1,000 types of receptor neurons that are broadly intermixed on the epithelium⁵. Receptor neurons send their axons to the olfactory bulb, where they undergo a marked resorting. Axons from a particular receptor neuron type converge onto a tight focus and their terminals form a glomerulus. About 2,000 glomeruli line the outer shell of the left and right olfactory bulbs; generally one finds two glomeruli for each receptor type^{6,7}.

The positioning of glomeruli follows certain general rules. There is approximate mirror symmetry between the left and right bulbs, in that glomeruli innervated by the same receptor neuron type appear to be reflected across the sagittal midplane of the brain^{8–10}. Across animals, the layout of glomeruli appears to be similar, but not identical^{11–14}. Furthermore, there is some relationship between the spatial position of a glomerulus and its odor sensitivity. Certain domains of the bulb respond preferentially to specific odor classes^{15–17}. There have been suggestions of even more detailed structure: in a given odor class, glomeruli that are responsive to structurally similar molecules have a tendency to lie close to each other^{9,10,18–20}. This has been termed a 'chemotopic map'.

The goals of this study were twofold: to determine the precision of the olfactory map on the bulb and to understand how the map relates to odor processing. We began by identifying the functional properties of each glomerulus, defined by its sensitivity spectrum to a large panel of odors. We found that this functional identification alone allowed us to uniquely tag many glomeruli in both rat and mouse. On that basis, we asked how reproducible the two-dimensional arrangement of these glomeruli is, which would reveal the accuracy with which Nature has established this neuronal map. We then tested whether there was indeed a systematic functional relationship among nearby glomeruli on the olfactory bulb.

RESULTS

We measured neural activity in glomeruli of the olfactory bulb in response to large panels of odors using two different optical reporters (**Fig. 1**): the intrinsic signal and synaptopHluorin (SpH). Both techniques allow for extended recordings without degradation of the signal, as they require no exogenous indicators. The intrinsic signal can be used in all species, whereas the SpH method relies on a genetic construct that is currently restricted to mice. On the other hand, the SpH probe delivers much stronger optical signals. Given these complementary benefits and

Received 24 September 2008; accepted 18 December 2008; published online 18 January 2009; doi:10.1038/nn.2262

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology and Center for Brain Science, Harvard University, 52 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA. ²These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence should be addressed to M.M. (meister@fas.harvard.edu) or V.N.M. (vnmurthy@fas.harvard.edu).



our desire to compare results from mice and rats, we used both techniques. In early experiments, we applied both methods simultaneously to the same glomeruli and found that they reported the same spectrum of odor responses (see Methods and **Fig. 1**).

Glomeruli can be identified reliably by their odor response

Given a large enough set of odorants, one expects that each glomerulus will have a unique response spectrum, governed by the ligandbinding affinities of the corresponding olfactory receptor protein, with possible contributions from lateral signal flow in the bulb. If so, one might be able to recognize a glomerulus with the same receptor type purely by its odor responses. The bilateral symmetry of receptor neuron projections to the two bulbs provides a test of this proposition because one expects to find glomeruli with identical odorant sensitivity at approximately mirror-symmetric locations across the midplane.

We examined this matching process with a small patch of glomeruli in the two olfactory bulbs of a mouse (**Fig. 2a**). All of these were activated by the same odor, and from this stimulus alone, it was unclear how glomeruli on the left bulb should be matched with those on the right (**Fig. 2b**). Under stimulation with other odors, however, the glomeruli in this patch differed greatly in their responses and each had a unique odor spectrum. Moreover, each odor spectrum on the left side had a near perfect match on the right side (**Fig. 2c**). On occasion, we found several possible matches of equivalent quality (**Fig. 2c**), perhaps resulting from duplicate glomeruli with the same odorant receptor¹². We avoided such ambiguous assignments by requiring a unique match (see Methods) and excluded the respective glomeruli from further analysis.

Figure 1 SpH and intrinsic signal report the same odor dependence. (a) Experimental setup. The surface of the olfactory bulb was imaged with a CCD camera. A dual beam illuminator allowed interleaved illumination with blue or red light to acquire both SpH and intrinsic optical signals during the same odor response. (b) Maximumintensity projection images of odor responses on the olfactory bulbs of a SpH-transgenic mouse, probed by SpH fluorescence (left) and the intrinsic signal (right). Each pixel was assigned the highest signal amplitude elicited by any of 88 odor stimuli. Approximately 70 glomeruli were stimulated effectively in each bulb. The sign of the intrinsic signals was inverted for ease of comparison. Asterisks mark reference points in the two images. (c) Comparison of responses from two glomeruli (left and right) to 88 odors, measured by the relative fluorescence change of SpH (dF/F) and the relative reflectance change of intrinsic signals (dR/R). For odor identities, see Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. (d) Scatter plot of the data shown in c. Note that SpH and intrinsic signal varied proportionally, but the slope is different for the two glomeruli. The correlation coefficient (s) between the two signals is listed for each glomerulus. (e) The ratio of SpH to intrinsic signal, shown as a histogram for 51 glomeruli. For each glomerulus, the ratio was determined from the slope in the plot of d. (f) Histogram of the correlation coefficient (see d) for all 51 glomeruli.

We tested whether this functional label for a glomerulus corresponds to the molecular label provided by its olfactory receptor type. A

transgenic mouse line in which the M72 olfactory receptor is fluorescently tagged²¹ showed a single fluorescent glomerulus on the dorsal side of each bulb (**Fig. 3a**). This glomerulus was strongly activated by several tiglate odorants (**Fig. 3b**). Other glomeruli nearby shared some sensitivity to tiglates, but differed in other parts of the odor spectrum (**Fig. 3b,c**). We used the measured odor spectrum of M72 in one animal as a template to search blindly for a match in other animals. For every target glomerulus, we computed the correlation between its odor spectrum and the template (equation (1)). The peak correlation value reliably identified a single glomerulus in each animal (**Fig. 3c**) and the fluorescence image revealed that this glomerulus was indeed innervated by the M72 receptor (confirmed in 10 out of 10 pairs of bulbs). We conclude that the odor spectrum is a reliable tag for the molecular identity of glomeruli.

A precise layout of identified glomeruli in mouse and rat

We applied the above correlation method to match glomeruli in two olfactory bulbs automatically by their odor spectra (see Methods). We matched 25 glomeruli between the two bulbs of the same mouse (**Fig. 4a**). Even though the method was entirely blind to the location of glomeruli, the resulting layout of matched glomeruli showed approximate mirror symmetry across the midline. To inspect the deviations from mirror symmetry, we overlaid and aligned the two patterns (**Fig. 4b**). This revealed that the shifts between the left and right glomerular layouts were not isotropic (**Fig. 4c**). The displacements of corresponding glomeruli were considerably larger in the anteroposterior direction (r.m.s. displacement = 139 μ m, 141 pairs of glomeruli) than in the medio-lateral direction (95 μ m). For reference, the average spacing between glomeruli in these animals was 108 μ m.



We can conceive the actual layout of glomeruli in any given bulb as resulting from the 'prototype' layout, modified by errors in positioning that occur during development. When two such layouts are aligned, each bulb is affected independently by the positioning error. Thus, the displacement between matched glomeruli in the two layouts has a s.d. that is $\sqrt{2}$ -fold larger than the error in each individual layout. From this, we found that the developmental variability in glomerular position relative to the prototype map was 98 µm (r.m.s.), or 0.9 spacings, in the antero-posterior direction and 67 µm, or 0.6 spacings, in the medio-lateral direction (Table 1). When comparing the bulbs of two different animals, the displacements of matching glomeruli were almost identical to those measured across bulbs in the same animal (Fig. 4d and Table 1).

We applied the same analysis of developmental precision to the rat (Supplementary Fig. 1 online). Again, the odor spectra of glomeruli were quite diverse, but a glomerulus in one bulb often had a precisely matching odor spectrum in the opposite bulb, allowing a reliable assignment of corresponding glomeruli. The deviations from symmetry were measured as before. As in the mouse, we found a clear difference between the two anatomical axes, with \sim two-fold larger displacements along the antero-posterior axis (Fig. 4e and Table 1). Again, we converted the measured displacements to the developmental

Figure 2 Glomeruli can be tagged by their odor responses. (a) The activation pattern in both bulbs of one mouse, induced by the odor ethyl tiglate and measured by SpH. (b) Overlay of the left bulb (green) and right bulb (red) from a. The left bulb image was reflected about the midline and shifted to align the glomeruli labeled 1. Arrows indicate pairs of glomeruli with matching odor response spectra (see Methods). (c) Responses to 100 odors for the six pairs of glomeruli labeled in **b**. The spectra for the right bulb glomeruli are inverted on the ordinate to facilitate the comparison. Note the assignment for glomeruli 5 and 6 was ambiguous, as all four members had very similar odor spectra. These cases did not pass the criterion for a unique match (see Methods) and were not used in further analysis (dashed arrows in b). For odor identities, see Supplementary Table 1.

error around the presumed prototype layout. Across animals, this scatter amounted to 1.6 glomerular spacings in the antero-posterior direction and 1.0 spacings in the medio-lateral direction (Table 1). The average spacing between rat glomeruli was 160 µm.

These measurements of map precision can provide constraints on models of axon guidance in the olfactory bulb. For example, two general scenarios could explain the variability in glomerular placement. In one scenario, the global gradients of axon guidance cues in the bulb vary from animal to animal, leading to slightly different layouts. Alternatively, the gradients are very reproducible and the noise arises when the receptor axons read those cues. The former hypothesis predicts that nearby glomeruli should vary less in their relative placement than distant glomeruli, as they target similar levels of the guidance molecules. We tested this and found no evidence for such an



Figure 3 A genetically labeled glomerulus validates the tagging procedure. (a) Sensory axons with a fluorescent M72-GFP olfactory receptor identified a glomerulus on the posterior dorsal olfactory bulb in two different mice (left and right). (b) Intrinsic signal response to a single odor (isopropyl tiglate) in the same regions shown in a (see asterisks for alignment). Several glomeruli responded, including the M72 glomerulus (labeled 1 and 1'). (c) Response spectra to 85 odors for the M72 glomerulus (1) and neighboring ones in the same animal (2-5), as labeled in b. In another analysis, we selected an M72 glomerulus (spectrum 1"") as a reference and found the glomeruli with the best matching response spectra in four other olfactory bulbs (1, 1', 1" and 1""). All four of these glomeruli were positive for M72-GFP. For odor identities, see **Supplementary Table 1**. A, anterior; L, lateral; M, medial; P, posterior.



Figure 4 Mouse and rat glomeruli are positioned precisely. (a) Locations of odor-activated glomeruli on the two olfactory bulbs in one mouse. Odor spectra on the left bulb were matched with those on the right bulb and the 25 best matches are shown with colored circles. (b) To align the glomerular layouts in the two bulbs, we mirrored the left bulb onto the right, and rotated and translated the left bulb to minimize the displacement of matched pairs of glomeruli. Left, arrows indicate the resulting displacement vectors for all pairs of glomeruli from the location in the right layout to that in the left layout. Right, the same displacement vectors rooted on a common origin. (c) Histogram of glomerular displacements between left and right bulbs of the same mouse (141 pairs in ten mice) measured separately along the anterior-posterior (A-P) and the medial-lateral (M-L) direction. Curves are Gaussian fits centered on the origin, with s.d. as quoted. (d) A histogram of glomerular displacements across bulbs of different mice (412 pairs in five mice) is shown, displayed as in c. (e) A histogram of glomerular displacements between left and right bulbs of the same rat (202 pairs in seven rats) is shown, displayed as in c. (f) We tested whether nearby glomeruli suffer similar displacements in comparing the layouts of two bulbs. Plotted along the abscissa is the separation of two glomeruli in one bulb and along the ordinate is the change in this separation vector in the other bulb (202 glomeruli in seven rats; mean ± s.e.m., see Methods). The straight line indicates the average relative displacement for all pairs.

effect (**Fig. 4f**). This result favors the latter hypothesis, which states that the variability arises at the read-out stage. Recent studies have provided evidence that two different mechanisms of axon guidance determine the antero-posterior and the dorso-ventral coordinates of a glomerulus^{6,7}. Our observations of anisotropic precision suggest that the antero-posterior mechanism is less precise than the orthogonal one.

Some glomeruli have identical odor responses across species

Given the observed precision of glomerular placement, we can specify what the prototype map is for the identifiable glomeruli on the dorsal bulb (**Fig. 5**). Some of these glomeruli were recognized in almost every olfactory bulb that we inspected, whereas others were recognized in only a fraction. These differences were partly a result of anatomical variation in the dorsal viewing window and of imaging noise and a conservative selection procedure (see Methods). For the glomeruli that were encountered repeatedly, we determined the prototype layout in the mouse (31 glomeruli; **Fig. 5a**) and the rat (34 glomeruli; **Fig. 5b**) (the odor spectra that tag the identity of each of these canonical glomeruli are provided in **Supplementary Figs. 2** and **3** online).

Among the canonical glomeruli in the prototype maps for mouse and rat, we encountered ten whose odor spectra matched very well across species: to within the confidence limits developed for matches within a species (**Fig. 5c**). For almost all of these (9 out of 10 in mouse and 10 out of 10 in rat), the match to a glomerulus in the other species was better than to the most similar glomerulus in the same species. In a less restrictive procedure, we began by matching individual bulbs across species (see Methods). This method identified, in addition to the above ten, an additional six canonical glomeruli with near-identical spectra in mouse and rat (**Supplementary Fig. 4** online). These observations suggest that rat and mouse share a substantial fraction of olfactory receptors with very similar odor-binding sites.

Mouse and rat are separated by a large evolutionary distance, but their olfactory receptor genes are unusually similar: About one third of the receptor genes have an ortholog in the other species with >90% protein sequence identity, closer than the nearest paralog in the same species²². This suggests that there is some degree of selection pressure to maintain those sequences. Whether the sequence similarity translates to identical odor-binding spectra remains to be seen, but it is now possible to connect the odor spectrum of a glomerulus to the molecular identity of the underlying receptor¹¹ and this should allow further exploration of the conserved glomeruli and their ligands.

Notably, the matching glomeruli were also located at similar positions in the two species. To allow for the different anatomical size of the bulbs, we normalized the two prototype layouts by the average glomerular spacing (AGS) in each species. After this scaling, the layouts of matched glomeruli in the mouse and rat differed by only ~ 1.0 AGS in the medio-lateral direction and 3.9 AGS in the antero-posterior direction (**Fig. 5d** and **Table 1**). For comparison, if we chose pairs of glomeruli from the two maps at random, the scatter would be much greater: 4.4 AGS in medio-lateral and 8.8 AGS in antero-posterior. Clearly there is a strong correspondence between the respective map positions of functionally related glomeruli in mouse and rat. This comparison of functional anatomy in the bulb could be extended readily to other species using the intrinsic signal method, which requires no genetic modification.

Table 1	Summary	of precision	in the glomerula	r layout on tl	he olfactory	y bulb
---------	---------	--------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--------

		Across hemispheres			Across animals			
		Number of pairs	Precision (µm)	Relative precision (AGS)	Number of pairs	Precision (µm)	Relative precision (AGS)	
Mouse	A-P	141	98	0.9	412	103	1.0	
	M-L	141	67	0.6	412	66	0.6	
Rat	A-P	202	260	1.6	102	258	1.6	
	M-L	202	131	0.8	102	156	1.0	
Mouse-rat prototype	A-P	_	_	_	10	_	3.9	
	M-L	_	_	-	10	_	1.0	

The precision of placement of glomeruli is measured as 1 s.d. of the scatter about the average position in the layout and reported in either absolute units (precision) or multiples of the average glomerular spacing (relative precision). The results are reported separately by species (mouse versus rat), by condition (comparing two bulbs in the same animal or across animals), and by anatomical direction (antero-posterior (A-P) versus medio-lateral (M-L)), and the number of glomerular pairs contributing is listed in each case (number of pairs). The precision of alignment of the mouse and rat layouts is based on the ten canonical glomeruli in **Figure 5**.

A coarse-scale map from odor space onto the olfactory bulb

Above, we have discussed the developmental precision in the layout of glomeruli; we move now to the functional logic of their arrangement. Specifically, we would like to find a systematic relationship between the chemical sensitivity of a glomerulus and its position on the olfactory bulb. The prototype layouts described above (**Fig. 5**) provide a look-up table between odor spectrum and spatial location, but convey no understanding of the rules behind the layout.

Perhaps the simplest hypothesis for a mapping rule is a linear relationship between odor responses and position (equation (2)). In this map, each odor is assigned one location on the bulb. A hypothetical glomerulus that responds exclusively to one odor would be mapped to that odor's location. A glomerulus that responds to several odors is mapped to an intermediate position, determined by weighting each single-odor location with the response to that odor. This type of relationship between the responses and locations of neurons applies, at least locally, in many somatotopic or visuotopic maps in the brain.

Given the measured odor spectra and locations for all glomeruli, we derived the optimal linear map between the two (see Methods). To explore its utility, we began by focusing on the rat, as the larger bulb allows a more precise position measurement and prior work has elaborated odor maps extensively in this species^{17,20}. We found that the linear map did provide some prediction as to where a glomerulus lies on the basis of the odor spectrum alone, but it was incomplete and coarse (**Fig. 6a**). Along the antero-posterior axis, the predicted position was correlated with the true position, but the discrepancy had a s.d. of ~ 600 µm, much greater than the inherent antero-posterior variability in the layout of glomeruli (260 µm; **Table 1**). Thus, the linear map does not account for the location of glomeruli to the accuracy with which

Figure 5 Prototype layout of glomeruli in mouse and rat. (a) Prototypical glomerular layout for the mouse. Circles indicate the average location on the bulb for 31 glomeruli that were reliably identified across experiments (based on eight bulbs). Circle diameter is equal to the average glomerular diameter. Ellipses indicate 1 s.d. of the scatter in position about the mean location. Colored circles and numbers label glomeruli that had matching odor spectra in mouse and rat (the odor spectra of these glomeruli are plotted in Supplementary Fig. 2). (b) Prototypical glomerular layout for the rat, identifying 34 glomeruli (based on four bulbs), displayed as in a, with the spatial scale normalized to the AGS. The odor spectra of these glomeruli are plotted in Supplementary Fig. 3. (c) Odor spectra of ten canonical glomeruli (colored dots in a and b) whose responses are almost identical in the mouse and rat. Glomerular activity was recorded using the SpH probe in the mouse and intrinsic signals in the rat. For odor identities, see Supplementary Table 1. (d) Displacement vectors between matched glomeruli in the mouse and rat layouts, rooted to a common origin and scaled in terms of the AGS.

they are arranged. Along the medio-lateral axis, which has a much shorter extent, the linear map was not useful at all: the errors in the predicted positions were almost as large as the range of positions.

How is the prediction of antero-posterior locations achieved? Inspection of the coefficients in the linear fit showed that only a small number of odors contributed to this (**Fig. 6b**). Furthermore, the subsets of odors that were assigned very anterior or very posterior positions each shared strong structural similarity. Among the pure compounds that predicted anterior placement of the glomerulus, aliphatic aldehydes made up four of the seven odors. All were straight-chain molecules containing a double-bonded oxygen species.





In contrast, the odors that predicted a posterior placement were, with a single exception, thiazoles. Given that this odor set (**Supplementary Table 1** online) contained many other compounds, these structural similarities clearly stand out. Moreover, a second odor set (**Supplementary Table 1**) that did not contain these molecules allowed no systematic prediction of antero-posterior position. Thus, among the classes of odorants that we tested, the straight-chain hydrocarbons and the thiazoles produced responses that were clearly localized to one portion of the dorsal bulb.

We conclude that a linear map between odor spectrum and location of a glomerulus can account for coarse positioning to within ~5 glomerular spacings, but not on the finer scale of the natural precision of the layout. This analysis is consistent with previous reports that responses to certain odors can be preferentially localized to a 'domain' or 'module' of the dorsal bulb, typically ~1 mm in size^{16,17,20,23}.

Fine-scale diversity in the odor map

Closer inspection of the domains identified by the aldehydes or thiazoles showed that they still contained glomeruli with a great

Figure 6 A coarse map relates the location of a glomerulus to its odor spectrum. (a) The optimal linear odor map for the rat olfactory bulb. The glomerular position as predicted by the optimal map (equation (2)) is plotted against the actual position. Solid line denotes the identity. Dotted lines represent the scatter (±1 s.d.) expected from the measured accuracy of glomerular placement, if the linear relationship accounted entirely for the chemotopic map. Note the actual scatter was much greater. Left, antero-posterior position; the fit has a correlation coefficient of $r^2 = 0.59$. Right, medio-lateral position; $r^2 = 0.42$. Data are from four bulbs in two rats. (b) The weighting coefficient (A_{1i} in equation (2)) for each odor response in fitting the antero-posterior position of the glomerulus. The odors are ordered by the strength of their coefficient. A few odors emerged with unusually strong anterior (negative) or posterior (positive) weights (outside dotted lines). Their chemical structures are shown on the left and right, respectively. For odor identities, see Supplementary Table 1.

diversity of chemical spectra. For example, as in previous studies^{10,19} we encountered some local clusters of glomeruli that were responsive

to aldehydes (**Fig. 7a**). However, two glomeruli with similar responses over the aldehyde series often had very different responses to the remainder of the odor set (**Fig. 7b,c**). Furthermore, interspersed among the glomeruli responsive to aldehydes were glomeruli that were entirely insensitive to this class of odors (**Figs. 5** and **7b,c**). Similar heterogeneity was found in the domain associated with thiazoles. Is there any systematic odor dependence in these fine-scale arrangements?

The relationship between odor spectrum and the location of a glomerulus may conceivably be very convoluted and nonlinear, in which case a global linear fit to the map (**Fig. 6a**) will be only moderately successful. To the extent that there is a systematic relationship, however nonlinear, we still expect to find local order in the map: nearby glomeruli should have similar odor sensitivity spectra. We tested this prediction for the rat's glomerular layout by analyzing the relationship between physical distance and functional similarity among pairs of glomeruli.

To measure the functional similarity of two glomeruli, we used the same quantity that allowed their identification across animals, namely the correlation coefficient of their response spectra to a large set of

Figure 7 Local diversity in the map of glomeruli. (a) Detail of odor responses in a small region of the rat olfactory bulb. In each pixel, the response amplitudes to aliphatic aldehydes of three different chain lengths (pentanal, hexanal and heptanal) are encoded with the red, green and blue color channels. The local clusters of glomeruli that are each tuned sharply to adjacent chain lengths should be noted. (b) Glomeruli on one olfactory bulb of a rat. Sensitivity to aliphatic aldehydes is marked in red (left) and sensitivity to thiazoles in green (right). (c) Odor response spectra of selected glomeruli labeled in **b**; note the sensitivity to aldehydes in the anterior and to



thiazoles in the posterior bulb. Adjacent glomeruli can have nonoverlapping odor spectra (for example, 4 and 6). The aldehyde 'domain' included glomeruli with no sensitivity to those odors (for example, 3). Glomeruli with overlapping sensitivity to aldehydes may have entirely different responses to other odors (for example, 1 and 2). For odor identities, see **Supplementary Table 1**.

Figure 8 Lack of local chemotopy. (a) Relationship between the odor response similarity (ordinate, equation (1)) of two glomeruli and their spatial separation (abscissa) in the rat. The analysis extended over 31,510 pairs of glomeruli in 14 olfactory bulbs from 7 rats. Each pair of glomeruli contributed one count in this histogram and the gray scale reports the number of counts in each bin. Red lines indicate mean (solid) and median (dashed) similarity versus distance, obtained by binning the distance. Blue lines indicate mean (solid) and median (dashed) distance versus similarity, obtained by binning the similarity. For odor identities, see Supplementary Table 1. (b) Under the null hypothesis in which there is no chemotopy whatsoever, the response similarity of a pair of glomeruli should have the same probability distribution at all distances. Therefore, the joint distribution of similarity and distance should equal the product of the two marginal distributions (see Methods). This prediction is plotted here; note the close resemblance to the measured distribution (a). (c) Difference between the measured distribution (a) and the distribution expected in the absence of any chemotopy (b), plotted on an expanded grayscale. The region with the strongest deviation from the null hypothesis included the pairs separated by <1 mm (vertical line) with similarity of > 0.5 (horizontal line). (d) Distribution of response similarity and spatial separation for glomeruli in the mouse, presented as in a. Data are from 33,169 pairs of glomeruli in 20 bulbs from 10 mice. For odor identities, see Supplementary Table 1. (e) Distribution expected under the null hypothesis of no chemotopy, presented as in b. (f) Difference



between the measured distribution (**d**) and the distribution expected in absence of any chemotopy (**e**), presented as in **c**. The strongest deviation appeared for pairs of glomeruli separated by <0.7 mm (vertical line) with similarity of >0.3 (horizontal line).

odors (equation (1)). This is a natural choice because it directly measures the overlap between the molecular receptive ranges²⁴ of the two glomeruli; in turn, the receptive range overlap has been cited as a criterion for chemotopic order on the olfactory bulb²⁵. Furthermore, a very similar correlation coefficient has been in common use for assessing the similarity of odor response patterns¹⁶.

With this similarity measure in hand, we plotted similarity against distance for more than 31,000 pairs of glomeruli in the rat (**Fig. 8a**). At any given distance, we found about the same distribution of similarities. Even at the smallest distances there was no shortage of nearby glomeruli that had strongly differing or nonoverlapping response properties (**Figs. 5** and **7b**,c). The average similarity had almost no systematic dependence on distance (**Fig. 8a**).

If there were no chemotopic order on the bulb, then the distribution of similarities should be strictly identical at all interglomerular distances (**Fig. 8b**). We compared the observed distribution to this null hypothesis and resolved some small differences that reflected a weak trace of chemotopy (**Fig. 8c**): At short interglomerular distances <1 mm, comparable to the size of coarse odor domains, we found an excess of highly similar pairs and a dearth of dissimilar pairs. This excess was a small effect: It amounted to only 3.7% of all pairs of glomeruli separated by <1 mm and 1.7% of all glomerular pairs inspected. A second series of experiments, using a different odor set, reached the same conclusions (**Supplementary Fig. 5** online). We repeated this analysis in the mouse with more than 33,000 pairs of glomeruli and it yielded very similar results (**Fig. 8d–f**). Again, similarity and distance were nearly independent of each other, with a small deviation at short distances; here, the excess amounted to 6.7% of glomerular pairs separated by <0.7 mm and 3% of all glomerular pairs considered. We concluded that on balance there is very little systematic mapping of glomeruli on a scale finer than the coarse domains. Furthermore, even the coarse domains do not impose a strong organization on the odor map. If one considers the response spectrum to a broad panel of odors, two glomeruli from the same domain, with <1 mm separation, are in most cases as dissimilar as those from different domains (**Fig. 8a,d**).

DISCUSSION

Our study was concerned with the functional anatomy of the olfactory bulb. We analyzed the spatial layout of glomeruli in relation to their odor sensitivity and found that many glomeruli could be identified uniquely and reliably by their odor sensitivity spectrum alone (**Figs. 3–5**). In both mouse and rat, the layout of glomeruli was notably precise, to within 0.6–1.6 glomerular spacings, and the variation was largest in the anterior-posterior direction (**Fig. 4** and **Table 1**). Some glomeruli appeared to be functionally identical in mouse and rat and were even located at corresponding positions on the bulb in both species (**Fig. 5**). There was only a coarse relationship between the odor

sensitivity of a glomerulus and its location on the bulb (Fig. 6). Nearby glomeruli tended to have very diverse odor sensitivities (Figs. 5, 7 and 8). Here, we briefly discuss the basis for these conclusions and their implications.

Precision of the glomerular layout

By locating the corresponding glomeruli in different bulbs and superposing their layouts, we obtained both the mean location of each glomerulus and the variation about the mean (**Figs. 4** and **5**, and **Table 1**). We can picture this variation as arising from a developmental process that places each glomerulus at its 'intended' location modified by a random positioning error. In the mouse, that error region corresponded to a 1-s.d. ellipse containing ~1.8 glomeruli ($\pi \times 0.6 \times 0.95$; **Table 1**). Because the mouse bulb has ~1,800 glomeruli, this amounts to an impressive targeting precision of 1 part in 1,000. For the rat, the corresponding number was ~1 part in 500.

In contrast, several previous reports on this subject have emphasized the high variability of the glomeruli placement^{7,11,12}. This discrepancy has two sources. First, some glomeruli seem to split into multiple copies and one prominent study¹² included the positions of several such duplicates in its estimate of variability. Our analysis was restricted to single glomeruli with a unique odor spectrum in the dorsal bulb. Second, some prior studies measured the absolute position of glomeruli with respect to anatomical landmarks^{11,12}, whereas we focused on the relative placement of glomeruli on the bulb surface. Any interindividual variation in the global layout of the bulb, such as a slight shift or rotation, will affect the absolute coordinates, but for the logic of lateral interactions, only relative placement matters. A reanalysis of published data¹¹ confirms that the relative positions of glomeruli are in fact reproduced with high fidelity: For example, the medio-lateral displacement of two glomeruli (labeled Ea and Ma in Fig. S2 of ref. 11) varied across animals with a s.d. of only 88 µm, which compares well to our value of 95 μ m (Fig. 4c). Another study¹³ directly inspected the relative placement of two molecularly tagged glomeruli on the bulb and found that shifts by 1 or 2 array positions are most common, consistent with our results.

Coarse chemotopy and local diversity in the odor map

Chemotopy refers to the notion that chemically similar odors are mapped to nearby locations on the olfactory bulb. This idea faces some difficulty from the outset, as a single odor generally activates many olfactory receptors^{26–28} and the glomeruli driven by those receptors tend to be loosely dispersed^{10,14,19,29}. Our study confirmed this result. On average, a single odor stimulated 17 glomeruli spread over a region ~15 glomeruli in diameter in the rat (11 in an area ~14 glomeruli in diameter in the mouse; **Supplementary Fig. 6** online). The size of these regions of activation is much greater than the variability that we measured in glomerular positioning (s.d. of 1.6 or less; **Table 1**). Thus, the broad dispersion of glomeruli activated by a single odor is not caused simply by developmental noise in the odor map, but instead represents a reproducible feature of the map, and should not be ignored.

On the large scale of these single-odor patterns, the olfactory bulb does show a systematic chemotopic organization, in that certain chemically related odors tend to activate glomeruli in the same coarse domain of the bulb^{17,18,20}. Two such domains on the dorsal bulb were apparent in our study: an anterior area responsive to aldehydes and a posterior area responsive to thiazoles (**Figs. 6b** and **7b**). These regions probably correspond to clusters A and D identified in ref. 20 and the domains for aldehydes and aromatic hydrocarbons in ref. 17. Notably, these two odor classes emerged here from an unbiased analysis that simply looked for a systematic odor map on the bulb, entirely blind to the identity of the odors (**Fig. 6b**). The map that we found was rather coarse: Knowing the odor spectrum of a glomerulus determined its position only to within \pm 600 µm, comparable to the ~1-mm size of the reported odor domains. Again, the coarse resolution of the chemotopic map cannot be ascribed to developmental noise because the positioning of individual glomeruli was, in fact, much more precise (**Table 1**).

It has been suggested that there is local chemotopy on a fine scale in the odor domains and that certain structural features of odorants are mapped continuously across neighboring glomeruli^{17,20,23}. We saw some indications of this, such as local clusters of glomeruli tuned to aliphatic aldehydes of different lengths (Fig. 7a). However, these structures are apparent only if one focuses on a specific molecular feature and ignores others. In general, glomeruli that are sensitive to one odor class tended to be interspersed by glomeruli that responded to entirely different odors (Figs. 5 and 7b), as seen in earlier studies^{10,18,19,29}. The question arises how prevalent such local chemotopy really is and whether it can be assessed in an unbiased way that does not adjust the criteria to each neighborhood of glomeruli. For this purpose, we asked whether nearby glomeruli systematically have a similar odor sensitivity spectrum (Fig. 8). We found that the chemical similarity of two glomeruli was almost entirely independent of their proximity. A small enhanced similarity among nearby glomeruli decayed with distance on the scale of $\sim 1 \text{ mm}$ (Fig. 8a,d) and thus reflects the organization of the coarse odor domains. This departure from independence affected only a few glomerular pairs (rat, <4%; mouse, <7%). Thus, the dominant characteristic of the olfactory map seems to be local diversity: any mitral cell finds itself surrounded by glomeruli with many different odor sensitivity spectra. Because glomerular placement is so precise, this local diversity is a reliable feature rather than a random flaw of the odor map.

What does the olfactory bulb compute?

The basic circuit of the olfactory bulb follows a simple scheme. Many parallel excitatory pathways are linked by lateral inhibition³⁰. In each glomerulus, the sensory afferents make excitatory synapses onto 25–50 mitral cells, which in turn send their axons to higher olfactory centers. These through-pathways interact via two networks of interneurons, in the glomerular layer³¹ and in the external plexiform layer³². Both networks are predominantly inhibitory, although there is evidence for lateral excitation as well^{31,33}. Therefore, the individual mitral cell receives excitation from receptor afferents in its primary glomerulus and potential contributions from other glomeruli in a large region extending to a 1–2-mm radius³². Clearly the nature of a mitral cell's computation depends on which of the surrounding glomeruli contribute and what odor signals they carry.

In one prominent proposal, the mitral cell collects inhibition from all glomeruli in a broad surrounding region, leading to a center-surround organization of sensitivity analogous to visual receptive fields in the retina³⁴. Given the local diversity of odor spectra documented here, the glomeruli in such a broad surround region will collectively span a vast range of odor stimuli. If so, then lateral inhibition might implement a nonspecific gain control, such that any odor presented to the nose transiently lowers the sensitivity of all mitral cells. This could well serve for a rapid adaptation by which mitral cell processing becomes independent of absolute odor concentrations³⁵. In contrast, such a nonspecific surround would not be useful for sharpening mitral cell sensitivity along a specific chemical axis²⁵.

In a very different scenario, a mitral cell collects inputs from just a small number of sparsely distributed glomeruli. In that case, the lateral circuits serve to perform a specific comparison of a few components of

the odor stimulus. Given the local diversity of odor spectra that we found among glomeruli, every mitral cell could perform a unique computation, even those neurons sharing the same principal glomerulus. There are some strong indications in favor of this theory. Nearby mitral cells do indeed have quite different odor sensitivity³⁶, especially with regard to their inhibitory inputs³⁷. Anatomical tracing suggests that the lateral networks leading to individual mitral cells are sparse³⁸ and a comparison of mitral cell responses to those of surrounding glomeruli directly showed that the mitral cell collects a sparse set of inputs³⁹. If each mitral cell indeed combines a different set of olfactory signals from the local field of glomeruli, then the number of different output channels of the bulb greatly exceeds the number of odorant receptors. This amounts to a substantial revision of how the olfactory bulb operates.

METHODS

Subjects. The animal subjects consisted of 11 adult rats (female Wistar or Long-Evans, ~300 g) and 12 adult mice (males and females, *Omp-SpH* heterozygous⁴⁰, >65 d old, 25–30 g). Each animal was prepared for surgery with atropine (25 µg per kg, intraperitoneal injection), anesthetized with urethane (12.5% intraperitoneal injection, final dose ~1.5 g per kg) or a cocktail of ketamine/xylazine (initial dose of either 100 or 10 mg per kg), and mounted in a stereotaxic frame. The skull was thinned or removed completely to reveal the dorsal surface of both olfactory bulbs. Low melting–point agarose (1.5%) was poured over the thinned bone and topped with a cover slip. All animal procedures conformed to US National Institutes of Health guidelines and were approved by Harvard University's Animal Care and Use Committee.

Stimulation. Odorants were delivered to the animal using either of two automated olfactometers (described in the **Supplementary Methods** online). For several odorants representing different chemical classes, we used a flame ionization detector to measure the final vapor concentration: typically 0.1–1% of the saturated vapor at 25 °C. These concentrations are low enough to avoid saturation of most receptors¹⁰. A list of all of the odors and the odor sets used in each figure are provided in **Supplementary Tables 1** and **2** online.

Imaging. An instrument was developed to measure intrinsic signals and SpH signals in parallel⁴¹. A dual illuminator, using bright light-emitting diodes, delivered either blue light (\sim 470 nm for SpH, Luxeon V LED, Lumileds) or deep red light (\sim 780 nm for intrinsic signal, Roithner Laser Technik) that was switched rapidly under computer control (**Fig. 1a**). Images were acquired with a CCD camera (Vosskühler 1300-D) at 12-bit resolution, with a frame rate of 25 frames per s (intrinsic signal) or 4 frames per s (SpH). Two photo lenses coupled front to front were used to image the olfactory bulb surface onto the CCD array, with a pixel size of 16 µm (mouse) or 20 µm (rat). Some intrinsic signal data were recorded in similar manner using an earlier acquisition system (Imager 2001, Optical Imaging).

Image acquisition typically began 20 s before odor delivery and continued for another 20 s during odor presentation. The interstimulus interval was 40–60 s of fresh air. Each stimulus was presented four to ten times, interleaved with other odor trials. For each odor, a ratio image was computed by dividing the average image during odor exposure by the image during the preceding air exposure. Signals were averaged further over trials with the same odor. For intrinsic signals, the ratio image was filtered to remove contamination from a large-scale hemodynamic signal¹⁰ by subtracting a copy convolved with a Gaussian spatial kernel (s.d. = 330 μ m).

Delivery of an odor often produced spots in the ratio image that corresponded to the activation of individual glomeruli^{10,40}. For each distinct spot, the intensity profile was approximated with a two-dimensional Gaussian. The response strength of the glomerulus to any given odor was measured as the best-fit amplitude of its Gaussian to that odor's intrinsic image¹⁰. For display, we inverted the intrinsic signal images to show bright spots (**Figs. 1** and **3b** and **Supplementary Fig. 1**).

Comparison of intrinsic signal and SpH. The two optical probes, intrinsic signal and SpH, report neural activity via different mechanisms. By its design,

the SpH reporter is linked to presynaptic release of transmitter from receptor neuron terminals⁴². The cellular origin of the intrinsic signal is somewhat less understood and there has been debate about whether its origins in the glomerulus are presynaptic or postsynaptic^{43,44}. If there is a postsynaptic component from mitral cells or periglomerular cells, then the odor response recorded from a given glomerulus may include signals transmitted laterally from other glomeruli, which could alter the odor sensitivity spectrum. Therefore, we began by testing whether the two methods reported the same odor spectrum.

In recordings from mouse olfactory bulb, the illuminating light was switched rapidly every 3.2 s between blue (SpH) and red (intrinsic signal), allowing for interleaved measurement of both signals during the same odor response (**Fig. 1a**). Across a panel of 88 odors, about 70 glomeruli per bulb could be activated using SpH and a similar number could be activated using intrinsic signal (**Fig. 1b**).

By graphing the intensity of a single glomerulus for all the odors, we obtained a response spectrum. The spectra derived from SpH and intrinsic signal were often very similar (**Fig. 1c**), except that the SpH response was much larger than that of the intrinsic signal (**Fig. 1d**). Furthermore, this ratio between SpH and intrinsic signals varied greatly among glomeruli (range = $\sim 10-60$; **Fig. 1e**). After scaling the SpH and intrinsic signals of a given glomerulus for this relative gain, the two odor response spectra became indistinguishable. We measured their similarity by the correlation coefficient of the two signals (equation (1)). These correlations were very high (mean across glomeruli, 0.89 ± 0.01 ; **Fig. 1f**), indicating that, for the vast majority of glomeruli, the scaled SpH and intrinsic signal signals varied proportionally, at least over the odor conditions in our experiments.

The fact that SpH and intrinsic signals have different relative gain across glomeruli probably results from their distinct biophysical origins. For example, the SpH signal will be affected by the resting activity of receptor neurons, the ratio of synaptic to other membranes in the glomerulus and the amount of overlying nerve layer. Presumably such glomerulus-specific scaling of signals would also be beneficial in comparing intrinsic signal to intracellular calcium responses⁴⁰. The fact that the two signals have identical odor dependence is consistent with prior suggestions that the intrinsic signal is strongly linked to presynaptic glutamate release, perhaps via the effects on nearby astrocytes⁴³. For the present purpose, we take advantage of the fact that the normalized odor spectra are identical and use the two imaging methods interchangeably.

Matching glomerular response spectra. To formalize the matching of glomeruli that are driven by the same odorant receptor, we used a simple measure of similarity between two odorant spectra: the uncentered correlation coefficient among their two responses across the different odors,

$$s^{(A,B)} = \text{similarity of glomeruli } A \text{ and } B = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j^{(A)} r_j^{(B)}}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j^{(A)} r_j^{(A)}} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j^{(B)} r_j^{(B)}}}$$

equation (1)

where $r_j^{(A)}$ = response of glomerulus *A* to odor *j*, and *n* = number of odors. Two glomeruli with the same odorant sensitivity have a similarity of 1, whereas glomeruli that respond to nonoverlapping odor sets have a similarity of 0.

To match glomeruli in one bulb with those in another, we computed the similarity for every inter-bulbar pair. The pair with the highest similarity was accepted as being a match and was removed from consideration. This process was repeated until all possible pairings occurred. We tested more complex algorithms that optimize overall similarity over several simultaneous matches, but they always yielded results that were comparable to this simple serial method.

To reduce the effects of noise on this analysis, we set responses of very low amplitude (<0.002 for SpH or <0.00015 for intrinsic signal) to 0 (**Supplementary Fig. 7** online). Furthermore, to accept a match, we generally imposed two conditions: strong similarity (>0.75) and uniqueness (similarity > 0.05 for the next best match).

Alignment of maps. In comparing the layouts of glomeruli on two bulbs, we started with the two sets of locations of matched glomeruli. We reflected left

bulbs across the antero-posterior axis. We then shifted and rotated the first bulb to align optimally with the second bulb. Specifically, we minimized the squared distance between the locations of corresponding glomeruli, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(i)})^2$, where *m* is the number of matched glomeruli in each bulb, $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ is the location of glomerulus *i* in the first bulb after rotation and translation, and $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$ is the location of glomerulus *i* in the other bulb. Subsequent analysis of this alignment focused on the residual shift vectors $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}$ and their extent in the antero-posterior and medio-lateral directions.

In **Figure 4f**, we compared the layout of two bulbs to test whether nearby glomeruli suffered similar displacements. Consider an identified glomerulus *i*, with locations $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$ in the two bulbs, and another glomerulus *j*, located at $\mathbf{x}^{(j)}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(j)}$. We measured their separation vector in the first bulb, $\Delta \mathbf{x}^{(ij)} = \mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mathbf{x}^{(j)}$, and their separation vector in the other bulb, $\Delta \mathbf{y}^{(ij)} = \mathbf{y}^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(j)}$. The graph shows the relative displacement of the two glomeruli $|\Delta \mathbf{y}^{(ij)} - \Delta \mathbf{x}^{(ij)}|$ as a function of their separation $|\Delta \mathbf{x}^{(ij)}|$.

Prototype maps and matches across species. To find a set of canonical glomeruli in each species, we started with all glomeruli in eight mouse bulbs and four rat bulbs. The analysis was restricted to glomeruli whose odor spectrum could be matched to a glomerulus in another individual of the same species. We required this match to be both reliable (similarity > 0.75) and unique (>0.05 difference from second best match). We then subjected this set of glomeruli to a cluster analysis on the basis of the similarity between spectra (average linkage clustering, cutoff at similarity = 0.75). Each cluster with three or more members was taken to represent a canonical group of identical glomeruli. We averaged the location and the odor spectrum across members of the cluster (plotted in Fig. 5).

To identify functionally similar glomeruli across species, we paired the canonical glomeruli in mouse and rat using the same matching algorithm described for individual glomeruli. This strategy gave rise to ten pairs of canonical glomeruli with near identical function in the mouse and rat (Fig. 5). An alternate strategy revealed another six such shared glomeruli (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Linear map of spectrum to location. We performed a linear regression to find the best linear map between the odor response vector \mathbf{r} and the glomerular position on the bulb \mathbf{x} :

 $\mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{r}$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{antero-posterior position} \\ \text{medio-lateral position} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \dots & A_{1n} \\ A_{22} & \dots & A_{2n} \end{bmatrix} = \text{matrix of map coefficients}$$
equation (2)
$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix} = \text{spectrum of responses to } n \text{ odors}$$

We first normalized each response vector **r** so that its components summed to unity, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j = 1$. By doing this, two glomeruli with the same relative odorant sensitivity, but different overall response amplitude, will map to the same location. We then determined the matrix **A** to minimize the squared error, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(i)})^2$, where *m* is the number of glomeruli. When n > m, the problem is underdetermined, with more unknowns than measurements. Thus, we began by reducing the dimensionality of the odor response spectra. From the set of *n*-dimensional vectors **r**, we determined the first five principal components, projected each **r** onto those directions and applied the fit to the resulting five-dimensional response vectors. For the analysis in **Figure 6**, the glomerular position in each bulb was measured relative to the average position of all observed glomeruli. Similarity and distance for pairs of glomeruli. As a measure of functional similarity of two glomeruli (Fig. 8), we chose again the correlation of the two odor spectra $s^{(A,B)}$ (equation (1)). To retain maximal sensitivity to any local chemotopic order, we analyzed only pairs of glomeruli in the same olfactory bulb, which eliminates the effect of developmental variation across bulbs or individuals. For each pair, we plotted similarity versus distance between the centers of the two glomeruli and created a histogram of the result, yielding a joint probability distribution (Fig. 8a). By projecting this graph onto either axis, we obtained the marginal distributions of distance and of similarity. To test whether similarity and distance are at all related, we computed the product of the two marginal distributions (Fig. 8b). This product is the joint distribution expected under the null hypothesis that similarity and distance are statistically independent. Finally, we subtracted this expectation from the observed joint distribution (Fig. 8c) to highlight any deviations from independence (Fig. 8c).

Note: Supplementary information is available on the Nature Neuroscience website.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank P. Mombaerts for providing M72-EGFP mice and R. Wilson and N. Uchida for healthy critiques.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

E.R.S., D.F.A., V.N.M. and M.M. designed the study, E.R.S., D.F.A. and A.L.F. performed experiments and analysis, E.R.S., D.F.A. and M.M. wrote the manuscript, and V.N.M. and M.M. supervised the project.

Published online at http://www.nature.com/natureneuroscience/ Reprints and permissions information is available online at http://www.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

- Kaas, J.H. Topographic maps are fundamental to sensory processing. *Brain Res. Bull.* 44, 107–112 (1997).
- Knudsen, E.I., du Lac, S. & Esterly, S.D. Computational maps in the brain. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 41–65 (1987).
- Chklovskii, D.B. & Koulakov, A.A. Maps in the brain: what can we learn from them? Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 27, 369–392 (2004).
- Serizawa, S., Miyamichi, K. & Sakano, H. One neuron-one receptor rule in the mouse olfactory system. *Trends Genet.* 20, 648–653 (2004).
- Mombaerts, P. Molecular biology of odorant receptors in vertebrates. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 22, 487–509 (1999).
- Imai, T. & Sakano, H. Roles of odorant receptors in projecting axons in the mouse olfactory system. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 17, 507–515 (2007).
- Mombaerts, P. Axonal wiring in the mouse olfactory system. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 22, 713–737 (2006).
- Ressler, K.J., Sullivan, S.L. & Buck, L.B. Information coding in the olfactory system: evidence for a stereotyped and highly organized epitope map in the olfactory bulb. *Cell* 79, 1245–1255 (1994).
- Belluscio, L. & Katz, L.C. Symmetry, stereotypy, and topography of odorant representations in mouse olfactory bulbs. *J. Neurosci.* 21, 2113–2122 (2001).
- Meister, M. & Bonhoeffer, T. Tuning and topography in an odor map on the rat olfactory bulb. J. Neurosci. 21, 1351–1360 (2001).
- Oka, Y. et al. Odorant receptor map in the mouse olfactory bulb: in vivo sensitivity and specificity of receptor-defined glomeruli. Neuron 52, 857–869 (2006).
- Schaefer, M.L., Finger, T.E. & Restrepo, D. Variability of position of the P2 glomerulus within a map of the mouse olfactory bulb. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 436, 351–362 (2001).
- Strotmann, J. *et al.* Local permutations in the glomerular array of the mouse olfactory bulb. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 6927–6938 (2000).
- Wachowiak, M. & Cohen, L.B. Representation of odorants by receptor neuron input to the mouse olfactory bulb. *Neuron* 32, 723–735 (2001).
- Stewart, W.B., Kauer, J.S. & Shepherd, G.M. Functional organization of rat olfactory bulb analyzed by the 2-deoxyglucose method. J. Comp. Neurol. 185, 715–734 (1979).
- Johnson, B.A. *et al.* Functional mapping of the rat olfactory bulb using diverse odorants reveals modular responses to functional groups and hydrocarbon structural features. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 449, 180–194 (2002).
- Johnson, B.A. & Leon, M. Chemotopic odorant coding in a mammalian olfactory system. J. Comp. Neurol. 503, 1–34 (2007).
- Friedrich, R.W. & Korsching, S.I. Combinatorial and chemotopic odorant coding in the zebrafish olfactory bulb visualized by optical imaging. *Neuron* 18, 737–752 (1997).
- Uchida, N., Takahashi, Y.K., Tanifuji, M. & Mori, K. Odor maps in the mammalian olfactory bulb: domain organization and odorant structural features. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3, 1035–1043 (2000).
- Mori, K., Takahashi, Y.K., Igarashi, K.M. & Yamaguchi, M. Maps of odorant molecular features in the mammalian olfactory bulb. *Physiol. Rev.* 86, 409–433 (2006).
- Potter, S.M. et al. Structure and emergence of specific olfactory glomeruli in the mouse. J. Neurosci. 21, 9713–9723 (2001).

- Zhang, X., Zhang, X. & Firestein, S. Comparative genomics of odorant and pheromone receptor genes in rodents. *Genomics* 89, 441–450 (2007).
- Takahashi, Y.K., Kurosaki, M., Hirono, S. & Mori, K. Topographic representation of odorant molecular features in the rat olfactory bulb. *J. Neurophysiol.* 92, 2413–2427 (2004).
- Mori, K. & Shepherd, G.M. Emerging principles of molecular signal processing by mitral/ tufted cells in the olfactory bulb. Semin. Cell Biol. 5, 65–74 (1994).
- Yokoi, M., Mori, K. & Nakanishi, S. Refinement of odor molecule tuning by dendrodendritic synaptic inhibition in the olfactory bulb. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 3371–3375 (1995).
- Duchamp, A., Revial, M.F., Holley, A. & MacLeod, P. Odor discrimination by frog olfactory receptors. *Chem. Senses* 1, 213–233 (1974).
- Malnic, B., Hirono, J., Sato, T. & Buck, L.B. Combinatorial receptor codes for odors. *Cell* 96, 713–723 (1999).
- Sicard, G. & Holley, A. Receptor cell responses to odorants: similarities and differences among odorants. *Brain Res.* 292, 283–296 (1984).
- Rubin, B.D. & Katz, L.C. Optical imaging of odorant representations in the mammalian olfactory bulb. *Neuron* 23, 499–511 (1999).
- Shepherd, G.M. & Greer, C.A. Olfactory bulb. in *The Synaptic Organization of the Brain* (ed. Shepherd, G.M.) 165–216 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004).
- Wachowiak, M. & Shipley, M.T. Coding and synaptic processing of sensory information in the glomerular layer of the olfactory bulb. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 17, 411–423 (2006).
- Egger, V. & Urban, N.N. Dynamic connectivity in the mitral cell–granule cell microcircuit. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 17, 424–432 (2006).
- Pimentel, D.O. & Margrie, T.W. Glutamatergic transmission and plasticity between olfactory bulb mitral cells. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 586, 2107–2119 (2008).
- Luo, M. & Katz, L.C. Response correlation maps of neurons in the mammalian olfactory bulb. *Neuron* 32, 1165–1179 (2001).

- Cleland, T.A., Johnson, B.A., Leon, M. & Linster, C. Relational representation in the olfactory system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 1953–1958 (2007).
- Egana, J.I., Aylwin, M.L. & Maldonado, P.E. Odor response properties of neighboring mitral/tufted cells in the rat olfactory bulb. *Neuroscience* 134, 1069–1080 (2005).
- Buonviso, N. & Chaput, M.A. Response similarity to odors in olfactory bulb output cells presumed to be connected to the same glomerulus: electrophysiological study using simultaneous single-unit recordings. *J. Neurophysiol.* 63, 447–454 (1990).
- Willhite, D.C. et al. Viral tracing identifies distributed columnar organization in the olfactory bulb. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103, 12592–12597 (2006).
- Fantana, A.L., Soucy, E.R. & Meister, M. Rat olfactory bulb mitral cells receive sparse glomerular inputs. *Neuron* 59, 802–814 (2008).
- Bozza, T., McGann, J.P., Mombaerts, P. & Wachowiak, M. *In vivo* imaging of neuronal activity by targeted expression of a genetically encoded probe in the mouse. *Neuron* 42, 9–21 (2004).
- Albeanu, D.F., Soucy, E., Sato, T.F., Meister, M. & Murthy, V.N. LED arrays as cost effective and efficient light sources for widefield microscopy. *PLoS ONE* 3, e2146 (2008).
- Miesenböck, G., De Angelis, D.A. & Rothman, J.E. Visualizing secretion and synaptic transmission with pH-sensitive green fluorescent proteins. *Nature* **394**, 192–195 (1998).
- Gurden, H., Uchida, N. & Mainen, Z.F. Sensory-evoked intrinsic optical signals in the olfactory bulb are coupled to glutamate release and uptake. *Neuron* 52, 335–345 (2006).
- Wachowiak, M. & Cohen, L.B. Correspondence between odorant-evoked patterns of receptor neuron input and intrinsic optical signals in the mouse olfactory bulb. *J. Neurophysiol.* 89, 1623–1639 (2003).

